

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 11, 1996

Ms. Priscilla A. Lozano
The University of Texas System
Office of General Counsel
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2981

OR96-2363

Dear Ms. Lozano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 102324.

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas received a request for various information that you assert is excepted from required public disclosure based on section 552.103 of the Government Code. Section 552.103(a) of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure information

- (1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and
- (2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that requested information "relates" to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). In this instance, you have made the requisite showing that the requested information relates to anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). The requested records may therefore be withheld.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We note that if the opposing party in the anticipated litigation has seen or had access to any of the information in these records, there would be no justification for now withholding that information from the requestor pursuant to section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). In addition, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Kay Guajardo

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KHG/rho

Ref.: ID# 102324

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Steven J. Heath

Attorney and Counselor

Renaissance Place

714 Jackson Street, Suite 340

Dallas, Texas 75202

(w/o enclosures)